



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JAS
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,719	04/12/2001	Adam D. Sah	004055.P006	5034

7590

01/30/2004

Judith A. Szepesi
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

CHOOBIN, BARRY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

RECEIVED

FEB 02 2004

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
LOS ANGELES

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Date Rec'd: Response
Next Action: 4/30/04
Due Date: 4/30/04
Docketed by: SJS
Case No: 2/16/04


NO DOCKETING REQUIRED
AD

File TRANS.
TO GRAYDON,
HEAD & Ritchey
8/19/02

RECEIVED

JUL 14 2004

Technology Center 2100





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JAS
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22113-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,719	04/12/2001	Adam D. Sah	004055.P006	5034

7590 01/30/2004
Judith A. Szepesi
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER	
CHOOBIN, BARRY	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2625	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

RECEIVED

FEB 02 2004

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
LOS ANGELES

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NO DOCKETING REQUIRED
NO
file TRANS

ENTERED

FEB - 3 2004

STATUS DB-LA

RECEIVED

JUL 14 2004

Technology Center

Office Action Summary

JUL 12 2004

Application No.

09/834,719

Examiner

Barry Choobin

Applicant(s)

SAH, ADAM D.

Art Unit

2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 19 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 15-18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

RECEIVED

JUL 14 2004

Technology Center 2600

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 12, 2001 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the Drawing is not adequate for publishing. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1- 14, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naidoo et al (US 2002/0147982) in view of Proctor, Jr. (US 6,452,913).

As to claims 1 and 7, Naidoo et al disclose a method comprising: capturing an image (page 4, paragraph 0037); determining if the image has changed (page 4,

Art Unit: 2625

paragraph 0037), and if the image has changed, sending the image to a server (page 4, paragraph 0037).

However, Naidoo et al is silent about if the image has not changed with a period, sending a heartbeat to indicate continued functionality.

But on the other hand, Proctor discloses a system comprising a heartbeat mechanism which sends a heartbeat to indicate continued functionality if the image has not changed with a period (column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the heartbeat mechanism as thought by Proctor with the system of Naidoo et al in order to maximize the number of available connections while minimizing the impact of the overall system capacity.

As to claims 2 and 8 Naidoo et al disclose detecting motion in an image (see page 4, paragraph 0037).

As to claims 3 and 11, Naidoo et al disclose sending a heartbeat which is a notification signal and inherently is smaller than an image and using less bandwidth (page 5, paragraph 0048).

As to claims 4, 5, 12 and 13, Proctor discloses a heartbeat comprising a compressed version of the unchanged image, including a time stamp (column 4, lines 15 –23).

Claims 6, 9-10, 14, and 19-20 are similarly analyzed and rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A: US 6370656 to Olarig et al.

B: US 2001/0043722 to Wildes et al.

C: US 2002/0141619 to Standridge et al.

D: US 5268668 to Berube.

E: US 5627586 to Yamasaki.

F: US 5732146 to Yamada et al.

G: US 6075787 to Bobeck et al.

H: US 6631402 to Devine et al.

CONTACT INFORMATION


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry Choobin whose telephone number is 703-306-5787. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 18:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 703-308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.


Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Barry Choobin
January 20, 2003


TIMOTHY M. JOHNSON
PRIMARY EXAMINEE



Form PTO-1449 (Modified)				Atty Docket No.: 004055.P006		Serial No.:	
List of Patents and Publications Statement (Use several sheets if necessary)				Applicants: Adam D. Sah Filing Date:			

1c682 U.S. PTO
 09/834719

 04/12/01

REFERENCE DESIGNATION				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
Exam. Initial	Date	Document Number	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date		
<i>cl</i>	Jul. 11, 00	6,088,330	Bruck, et al.	↓	↓	Oct. 1, 97		
<i>cl</i>	Jul. 11, 00	6,088,622	Dollin, et al.			Jan. 9, 98		
<i>cl</i>	Jul. 11, 00	6,088,737	Yano, et al.			Oct. 22, 97		
<i>cl</i>	Aug 29, 00	6,112,236	Dollin, et al.			Nov. 21, 96		
<i>cl</i>	Sep. 5, 00	6,115,040	Bladow, et al.			Sep. 24, 98		
<i>cl</i>	Sep. 5, 00	6,115,752	Chauhan			May 21, 98		
<i>cl</i>	Sep. 12, 00	6,119,159	Tseng, et al.			Sep. 9, 97		
<i>cl</i>	Nov. 16, 99	5,987,621	Duso, et al.			May 5, 97		

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
No.	Document No.	Date	Country	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Translation

OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)							

Examiner 	Date Considered 
--	--

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant